

HENRY A. PLATT (CSB No. 142304)  
Email: hplatt@saul.com  
SAUL EWING LLP  
2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 1000 – The Watergate  
Washington, D.C. 20037-1922  
Tel.: 202.333.8800  
Fax: 202.295.6776

Attorneys for Movant, John Doe

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

GRISOFT, S.R.O.,  
  
Requestor,  
  
vs.  
  
GOOGLE, INC.,  
  
Witness.

Case No. 07-mc-80281-MMC-JCS

**REPLY BY JOHN DOE RE MOTION  
TO QUASH SUBPOENA PURSUANT  
TO 17 U.S.C. § 512(h)**

On or about December 13, 2007, Grisoft, S.R.O. (“Grisoft”) filed a Request with this Court for the issuance of a subpoena to Google purportedly in accordance with 17 U.S.C. § 512(h). On February 1, 2008, Movant John Doe (“Doe”) moved to quash the subpoena issued by Grisoft because Grisoft is attempting to misuse the subpoena process pursuant to the Digital Millenium Copyright Act (“DMCA”), 17 U.S.C. § 512, *et seq.* On February 11, 2008, this matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero for a decision regarding the Motion to Quash.

1 On August 1, 2008, after several continuances requested by the parties in an unsuccessful  
2 effort to amicably resolve the dispute, the parties filed a joint proposed briefing schedule with the  
3 Court. On August 4, 2008, the Court "So Ordered" the proposed briefing schedule, ordering that  
4 Grisoft file any opposition to Doe's Motion to Quash no later than August 15, 2008.  
5

6 To date, Grisoft has not filed any opposition to the Motion to Quash, and it has filed no  
7 motion to extend the August 15, 2008 deadline. Accordingly, John Doe's Motion to Quash is  
8 unopposed and Movant respectfully submits that its motion should be granted for the reasons set  
9 forth in John Doe's Motion to Quash.  
10

11  
12 Respectfully submitted,

13 SAUL EWING LLP  
14

15 Dated: August 21, 2008

16 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
Henry A. Platt

17 Attorneys for Movant  
18 JOHN DOE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28